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2. ABBREVIATIONS

ADR  Adverse Drug Reaction
AE   Adverse Event
BP   Bullous Pemphigoid
CI   Chief Investigator overall
CRF  Case Report Form
DAP  Data Analysis Plan
DMC  Data Monitoring Committee
EMEA European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products
EOT  End of Trial
GCP  Good Clinical Practice
ICF  Informed Consent Form
MHRA Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
NHS  National Health Service
PI   Principal Investigator at a local centre
PIS  Participant Information Sheet
REC  Research Ethics Committee
R&D  Research and Development department
SAE  Serious Adverse Event
SAR  Serious Adverse Reaction
SPC  Summary of Product Characteristics
SUSAR Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reaction
TMG  Trial Management Group
TSC  Trial Steering Committee
3. SYNOPSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>A randomised controlled trial to compare the safety and effectiveness of doxycycline (200 mg/day) with prednisolone (0.5 mg/kg/day) for initial treatment of bullous pemphigoid.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>The BLISTER Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short title</td>
<td>The Bullous Pemphigoid Steroids and Tetracyclines Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Investigator</td>
<td>Professor Hywel Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• To assess whether doxycycline can be considered as non-inferior to prednisolone in effectiveness for the treatment of bullous pemphigoid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• To assess the safety of doxycycline compared with the prednisolone for the treatment of bullous pemphigoid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• To assess the cost-effectiveness of the two treatments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial Design</td>
<td>Prospective, 2-arm, single-blind, parallel group, multi-centre randomised controlled trial.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Approximately forty-five hospitals in the UK and five hospitals in Germany.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants</td>
<td>A total of two-hundred and fifty six participants will be recruited to the study (approximately 210 in the UK).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main eligibility criteria</td>
<td>Main Inclusion Criteria:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• At least eighteen years old.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Clinical features consistent with bullous pemphigoid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• A positive direct or indirect immuno-fluorescence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• At least three significant blisters or recent erosions spread over two or more body sites that have appeared in the week prior to study enrolment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Free of blisters and any treatment for previous episodes bullous pemphigoid for at least one year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Exclusion Criteria</td>
<td>Main Exclusion Criteria:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Any previous systemic medications for the treatment of the current episode of bullous pemphigoid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Allergy to tetracyclines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study interventions</td>
<td>At baseline (week 0), participants will be randomised to receive either prednisolone (0.5 mg/kg/day) or doxycycline (200mg/day) for 6 weeks. From the 6 week visit onwards, the clinician will adjust the dosage to meet the clinical needs of the participant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of study</td>
<td>Recruitment will take place from March 2009 until February 2012. Last participant last visit will be February 2013. Each participant will be in the study for a total of 1 year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomisation and blinding</td>
<td>Randomisation will be based on a computer generated pseudo-random code using random permuted blocks of randomly varying size. Participants will be allocated in a 1:1 ratio to the doxycycline and prednisolone treatment arms. The investigator (but not the participant) will be blinded to treatment allocation for the initial treatment phase (week 0-6) until the results of the primary efficacy outcome measurement are recorded in the randomisation database. The remainder of the study (weeks 7-52) will be un-blinded to allow the investigator to adjust the study medication.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Outcome measures    | Primary: Differences in the two treatment arms in the: • proportion of participants classed as treatment success (3 or less significant blisters present on examination) at 6 weeks. • proportion of participants reporting grade 3, 4 and 5 (mortality) adverse events which are possibly, probably or definitely related to BP medication during the 52 week follow-up. Secondary For the secondary and tertiary endpoints a participant will be classed as a treatment success if they have 3 or less significant blisters present on examination and have not had their treatment modified (changed or dose increased) on account of a poor response Differences in the two treatment arms in the: • proportion of participants classed as treatment success at 6 weeks • proportion of participants classed as treatment success at 6 weeks and are alive at 52 weeks. • proportion of participants classed as treatment success at 13 weeks of follow-up. • proportion of participants classed as treatment success at 52 weeks of follow-up. • proportion of participants who have a further episode of bullous pemphigoid during their participation in the study after previously being classed as a treatment success (3 or less significant blisters present on examination). • proportion of participants reporting any adverse events which are possibly, probably or definitely related to BP medication in the 52 weeks following randomisation. • quality of life. • cost-effectiveness. Tertiary Differences in the two treatment arms in the:
| • proportion of participants who, on examination at 6 weeks, are completely blister free  
| • proportion of participants who are alive 52 weeks after randomisation.  
| • proportion of participants in each treatment arm who, on examination at 3 weeks, are classed as treatment success.  
| • Difference in the amount of potent and super-potent topical corticosteroids used.  |
4. STUDY BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

4.1. Background

Bullous pemphigoid (BP) is the most common of the autoimmune blistering skin diseases in the Western Europe. Estimates of incidence vary, but a recent audit of the Oxfordshire region (2001-4) showed the annual incidence of bullous pemphigoid in Oxfordshire to be 33.4 cases per million per year. One study in the Grampian region of Scotland showed an incidence rate of fourteen cases per million per year (1).

BP is a serious condition with a significant associated morbidity and mortality rate. Widespread tense and haemorrhagic blisters, skin erosions and severe itching cause patients a great deal of distress and pain. It occurs mainly in the elderly untreated BP is typically self-limiting and usually remits within 5 years, with periods of spontaneous remissions and exacerbations. In most patients who are treated, BP remits within 1.5-5 years but may recur once medication is stopped (relapse rates of 9% have been documented (1a)). The mortality rate in treated patients is estimated to range from 20% - 40% at one year. Patients are often admitted to hospital for initial treatment; estimates of admission rates vary, but they are generally high (up to 100%) thus representing a significant cost to the NHS.

A Cochrane systematic review (2) addressed the treatment of bullous pemphigoid and highlighted the lack of the evidence informing our current treatment and the UK guidelines (3). However, the severity of symptoms and lesions in bullous pemphigoid make treatment mandatory (usually with oral corticosteroids) and frequent hospital visits are needed for dose adjustment. It is thought that in this susceptible elderly population, this corticosteroid treatment contributes to the high mortality rate. This is due, at least in part, to the significant toxicity associated with the use of these drugs, such as hypertension, diabetes, infections and osteoporosis. Management of these conditions can be difficult and their treatment represents a significant burden to the NHS. Therefore, the avoidance of systemic corticosteroids in this vulnerable group of patients is highly desirable and a safer, effective, low cost alternative is needed.

There is some evidence that tetracyclines may be effective in treating bullous pemphigoid. Tetracyclines are cheap and readily available broad-spectrum antibiotics which have other non-antibiotic properties. In bullous pemphigoid, they are thought to work by decreasing the complement-mediated inflammatory response at the basement membrane zone by suppressing neutrophil chemotaxis and mediators of the inflammatory response.

The Cochrane systematic review (2) commented that “Combination treatment with tetracycline and nicotinamide may be useful; this needs further validation.” The review showed that the only published randomised controlled trial (RCT) to investigate tetracycline was small (20 patients) (4). It compared tetracycline (& nicotinamide) with prednisone and showed that tetracycline (& nicotinamide) may be effective in treating bullous pemphigoid, although the results were not statistically significant. There was one complete responder, and five partial responders in the steroid group (n=6), compared with five complete responders, five partial responders, one non responder, and one disease progression (n=12). Two participants were unavailable for follow up. The prednisolone group had more severe adverse effects (including a death due to sepsis) and disease
recurrence. Most of the adverse effects in the tetracycline (& nicotinamide) group were mild.

Several small open studies looking at tetracycline have also demonstrated the effectiveness of tetracycline for bullous pemphigoid. In the first study, four participants with bullous pemphigoid were treated with tetracycline hydrochloride and nicotinamide and all showed an excellent clinical response with no side-effects (5). Similar results were found in a second study of seven participants with BP, where tetracycline combined with nicotinamide was effective in clearing the skin lesions (6). Another study of five participants treated with oral tetracycline and a mid-potency topical steroid showed a good response with no toxicity (7). A fourth open study of eleven participants used tetracycline (or doxycycline) and nicotinamide. Six participants had a complete response with a further two having a partial response (8).

Doxycycline is the tetracycline to be used in this study. It has fewer gastrointestinal side effects than oxytetracycline, has a better bioavailability and is easier to swallow as the tablets are smaller which should improve adherence. Indeed, in one study (8) doxycycline was used as an alternative therapy for participants unable to tolerate the gastrointestinal side effects of oxytetracycline.

The RCT described here will determine the effectiveness and safety of doxycycline (200 mg/day), which is widely available and inexpensive, versus prednisolone (0.5mg/kg bodyweight/day).

A search of the Controlled Trials Register indicates there are no current studies investigating tetracyclines as a treatment for bullous pemphigoid.

4.2. Details of Investigational Medicinal Products

4.2.1. Description

This study will compare two active medications that are both used in everyday practice for the treatment of bullous pemphigoid:

- Doxycycline (CAS number 564-25-0) available in either hyclate capsules or dispersible tablets.
- Prednisolone (CAS number 50-24-8) available in either tablets or dispersible tablets.

Participants will be prescribed study medication from the standard pharmacy supplies, so any brand may be prescribed. Detailed records of the form and brand of medication prescribed to the participant will be kept by pharmacy.

More details regarding the chemical and pharmacological properties of the study drugs can be found in the Summary of Product Characteristics.

Participants will be also permitted to use mometasone furoate 0.1% as a rescue medication.

4.2.2. Packaging and Labelling

Participants will be prescribed study medication from standard pharmacy supplies and the participant will not be blinded to treatment allocation, so no special packaging will be used.
4.2.3. Storage, dispensing and Return

The study drugs will be stored as per the manufacturer’s instructions in the study centre pharmacies. Participants will be instructed to store medication not above 25°C.

The clinical trials pharmacist or designee at the hospital will dispense the medication and keep detailed dispensing records.

4.2.4. Known Side Effects

**Doxycycline**

**Autonomic nervous system** Flushing.

**Body as a whole** Hypersensitivity reactions, including anaphylactic shock, anaphylaxis, anaphylactoid reaction, anaphylactoid purpura, hypotension, pericarditis, angioneurotic oedema, exacerbation of systemic lupus erythematosus, dyspnoea, serum sickness, peripheral oedema, tachycardia and urticaria.

**Central and Peripheral nervous system** Headache. Bulging fontanelles in infants and benign intracranial hypertension in juveniles and adults have been reported in individuals receiving full therapeutic dosages of tetracyclines. In relation to benign intracranial hypertension, symptoms included blurring of vision, scotomata and diplopia. Permanent visual loss has been reported.

**Gastro-intestinal** Gastro-intestinal symptoms are usually mild and seldom necessitate discontinuation of treatment. Abdominal pain, anorexia, nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, dyspepsia and rarely dysphagia. Oesophagitis and oesophageal ulceration have been reported in patients receiving Vibramycin.

**Hearing/Vestibular** Tinnitus.

**Haemopoietic** Haemolytic anaemia, thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, porphyria, and eosinophilia have been reported with tetracyclines.

**Liver/Biliary** Transient increases in liver function tests, hepatitis, jaundice, hepatic failure and pancreatitis have been reported rarely.

**Musculo-Skeletal** Arthralgia and myalgia.

**Skin** Rashes including maculopapular and erythematous rashes, exfoliative dermatitis, erythema multiforme, Steven-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. Photosensitivity skin reactions and photo-onycholysis.

**Superinfection** As with all antibiotics, overgrowth of non-susceptible organisms may cause candidiasis, glossitis, staphylococcal enterocolitis, pseudomembranous colitis (with Clostridium difficile overgrowth) and inflammatory lesions (with candidal overgrowth) in the anogenital region. Similarly there have been reports for products in the tetracycline class of stomatitis and vaginitis.

**Urinary system** Increased blood urea.

**Other** When given over prolonged periods, tetracyclines have been reported to produce brown-black microscopic discoloration of thyroid tissue. No abnormalities of thyroid function are known to occur. Tetracyclines may cause discoloration of teeth and enamel hypoplasia, but usually only after long-term use.

**Prednisolone**

**Gastro-Intestinal** Dyspepsia, peptic ulceration with perforation and haemorrhage, abdominal distension, oesophageal ulceration, oesophageal candidiasis, acute pancreatitis, perforation of bowel, gastric haemorrhage.
Increases in alanine transaminase (ALT, SGPT) aspartate transaminase (AST, SGOT) and alkaline phosphatase have been observed following corticosteroid treatment. These changes are usually small, not associated with any clinical syndrome and are reversible upon discontinuation.

**Anti-Inflammatory And Immunosuppressive Effects** Increased susceptibility and severity of infections with suppression of clinical symptoms and signs, opportunistic infections, may suppress reactions to skin tests, recurrence of dormant tuberculosis (see Special warnings and special precautions for use).

**Musculoskeletal** Proximal myopathy, osteoporosis, vertebral and long bone fractures, avascular osteonecrosis, tendon rupture, muscle weakness.

**Fluid And Electrolyte Disturbance** Sodium and water retention, hypertension, hypokalaemic alkalosis, potassium loss, congestive heart failure in susceptible patients.

**Dermatological** Impaired healing, skin atrophy, bruising, striae, telangiectasia, acne, petechiae and ecchymosis. Kaposi's sarcoma has been reported in patients receiving corticosteroid therapy.

**Endocrine/Metabolic** Suppression of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, growth suppression in infancy, childhood and adolescence; menstrual irregularity and amenorrhoea. Cushingoid facies, hirsutism, weight gain, impaired carbohydrate tolerance with increased requirement for antidiabetic therapy, negative nitrogen and calcium balance. Increased appetite.

**Neuropsychiatric** A wide range of psychiatric reactions including affective disorders (such as irritable, euphoric, depressed and labile mood psychological dependence and suicidal thoughts), psychotic reactions (including mania, delusions, hallucinations and aggravation of schizophrenia), behavioural disturbances, irritability, anxiety, sleep disturbances, seizures and cognitive dysfunction including confusion and amnesia have been reported for all corticosteroids. Reactions are common and may occur in both adults and children. In adults, the frequency of severe reactions was estimated to be 5-6%. Psychological effects have been reported on withdrawal of corticosteroids; the frequency is unknown. Increased intracranial pressure with papilloedema in children (pseudotumour cerebri) has been reported, usually after treatment withdrawal of methylprednisolone.

**Ophthalmic** Increased intraocular pressure, glaucoma, papilloedema with possible damage to the optic nerve, cataracts, corneal or scleral thinning, exacerbation of ophthalmic viral or fungal disease, exophthalmos.

**Cardiovascular** Myocardial rupture following myocardial infarction, thrombo-embolism.

**General** Leucocytosis, hypersensitivity reactions including anaphylaxis, nausea, malaise, persistent hiccups with high doses of corticosteroids.

**Withdrawal Symptoms** Too rapid a reduction of corticosteroid dosage following prolonged treatment can lead to acute adrenal insufficiency, hypotension and death (see Special warnings and special precautions for use). A 'withdrawal syndrome' may also occur including, fever, myalgia, arthralgia, rhinitis, conjunctivitis, painful itchy skin nodules and loss of weight.

### 5. STUDY PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES

#### 5.1. Purpose

There is currently true clinical equipoise in the dermatology community between steroids and tetracyclines for the treatment of bullous pemphigoid. The purpose of this study is to
determine whether doxycycline is sufficiently effective and safe to be used as a treatment for bullous pemphigoid.

The study consists of two comparisons, 1) a non-inferiority comparison of the effectiveness of doxycycline compared to prednisolone and 2) a superiority comparison of adverse events of the two treatments.

5.2. Hypothesis

The hypotheses for this study are that:

1) Doxycycline is not inferior in effectiveness to prednisolone in treating bullous pemphigoid given an accepted non-inferiority margin.

2) Doxycycline is less likely to result in severe adverse reactions than prednisolone.

5.3. Primary Objectives

- To assess whether doxycycline can be considered as non-inferior to prednisolone in effectiveness for the treatment of bullous pemphigoid given an accepted non-inferiority margin.

- To assess the safety of doxycycline compared with the prednisolone for the treatment of bullous pemphigoid.

5.4. Secondary Objectives

- To assess the cost-effectiveness of the two treatments.

6. STUDY DESIGN

6.1. Overview

This study is a prospective, 2-arm, single-blind, parallel group, multi-centre randomised controlled trial. The trial management group used the PRECIS tool (11) to conclude that this trial is more towards the pragmatic end of the pragmatic-explanatory continuum. A total of two-hundred and fifty-six participants will be recruited to the study (approximately two-hundred and ten in the UK). It is anticipated that there will be approximately 45 centres in the UK and 5 in Germany.

At baseline (week 0), participants will be randomised to receive either prednisolone (0.5 mg/kg/day) or doxycycline (200mg/day) for 6 weeks. This is the set dose, single (investigator) blinded phase. From the week 6 visit onwards, the clinician will adjust the dosage to meet the clinical needs of the participant. All participants will be followed up for a total of one year from the date of randomisation. During this follow-up period, clinic visits will occur at 3, 6, 13, 26, 39 and 52 weeks of follow-up.

An overview of the study design is shown in figure 1.
This protocol is confidential and the property of the University of Nottingham. No part of it may be transmitted, reproduced, published, or used by others persons without prior written authorisation from the University of Nottingham.
6.2. Outcome Measures

6.2.1. Primary Outcome Measures

- Difference between the two treatment arms in the proportion of participants classed as treatment success at 6 weeks. Treatment success is defined as 3 or less significant blisters present on examination at 6 weeks. Significant blisters are defined as intact blisters containing fluid which are at least 5mm in diameter. However, if the participant has popped a blister, or the blister is at a site that makes it susceptible to bursting such as the sole of the foot, it can be considered part of the blister count, providing there is a flexible (but not dry) roof present over a moist base. Mucosal blisters will be excluded from the count.

A survey of the UK DCTN membership showed that a point estimate of 25% inferiority in effectiveness would be acceptable assuming a gain in the safety profile of at least 10%.

This measure of success was selected as it was considered to be the more clinically relevant than a continuous measure of blister count. It would be less clinically relevant to perform an absolute blister count and report a percentage reduction. Instead, to state that treatment is considered a success if remission is achieved (i.e. the presence of three or less blisters on physical examination at 6 weeks) more closely reflects clinical practice. In addition, it is far less burdensome on investigators than including a full blister count, which would mean counting in the region of 50 – 60 blisters in many cases. This outcome measure will be performed as a single blind assessment.

- Difference between the two treatment arms in the proportion of participants reporting grade 3, 4 and 5 (mortality) adverse events which are possibly, probably or definitely related to BP medication in the 52 weeks following randomisation. A modified version of The Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE v3.0) will be used to grade adverse events. At each study visit, participants will be questioned about adverse events they have experienced since the last study visit (using a standard list of known side effects of the two study drugs).

6.2.2. Secondary Outcome Measures

For the secondary and tertiary endpoints a participant will be classed as a treatment success if they have 3 or less significant blisters present on examination and have not had their treatment modified (changed or dose increased) on account of a poor response.

- Difference in the proportion of participants who are classed as a treatment success at 6 weeks.

- Difference in the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who are classed as treatment success at 6 weeks and are alive at 52 weeks. This measure will provide a good overall comparison of the two treatment arms.

- Difference in the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who are classed as treatment success at 13 weeks.
• Difference in the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who are classed as treatment success at 52 weeks.

• Difference in the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who have a further episode of bullous pemphigoid during their participation in the study after previously being classed as a treatment success (3 or less significant blisters present on examination only). A relapse will be defined as "worsening of the disease that requires an escalation of therapy after the disease has been controlled". This will compare relapse rates between the two treatments.

• Difference between the two treatment arms in the proportion of participants reporting any adverse events which are possibly, probably or definitely related to BP medication in the 52 weeks following randomisation. A modified version of The Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE v3.0) will be used to grade adverse events. At each study visit, participants will be questioned about adverse events they have experienced since the last study visit (using a standard list of known side effects of the two study drugs). It is often the less medically important side effects that are of significant concern to patients taking corticosteroids, such as weight gain and skin fragility.

• Difference between the two treatment arms in the quality of life. Participants will complete the Euroqol EQ-5D and the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) questionnaires at baseline, 6, 13, 26, 39 and 52 weeks.

• Difference between the two treatment arms in cost-effectiveness. Data will be collected on health service usage (number, type and duration of visits to healthcare professionals, inpatient stays) and will be used to calculate the average cost of the two regimens from an NHS perspective within the duration of the trial.

6.2.3. Tertiary Outcome Measures

• Difference in the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who, on examination at 6 weeks, are completely blister free (defined as completely free of all intact blisters and moist erosions). This outcome measure is included as some clinicians may prefer to see a complete clearance of the blisters as a measure of treatment success.

• Difference in the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who are alive 52 weeks after randomisation.

• Difference in the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who, on examination at 3 weeks, are classed as treatment success. This will compare the speed of onset of action between doxycycline and prednisolone.

• Difference in the amount of potent and super-potent topical corticosteroids used in each treatment arm during the 52 weeks of follow-up.

6.3. Stopping Rules and Discontinuation

The following may result in the trial being terminated:

• Formal interim analysis which shows overwhelming evidence of effectiveness / ineffectiveness.
6.4. Randomisation and Blinding

6.4.1. Randomisation

The randomisation will be based on a computer generated pseudo-random code using random permuted blocks of randomly varying size, created by the Nottingham Clinical Trials Unit (CTU) in accordance with their standard operating procedure (SOP) and held on a secure server. Access to the sequence will be confined to the Nottingham CTU Data Manager.

Participants will be allocated in a 1:1 ratio to the doxycycline and prednisolone treatment arms. Randomisation will be stratified by disease severity. This is defined by the number of blisters present at baseline:

- Mild = less than 10
- Moderate = between 10 and 30 blisters
- Severe = more than 30 blisters

Depending on local arrangements, to assign a treatment to the participant either the pharmacist or investigator will access the internet-based randomisation system, which will be developed and maintained by the Nottingham CTU. It is anticipated that in most study sites, the pharmacist will access the randomisation website, but in sites where this is not possible, the investigator will carry this out and a master list will then be used by the pharmacist to allocate treatment to ensure the investigator remains blinded to treatment allocation. Access to the randomisation website can either be directly via the internet or by telephone via the Nottingham CTU if web access is not available.

The sequence of treatment allocations will be concealed until interventions have all been assigned and recruitment, data collection, and all other trial-related assessments are complete. The statistical analysis will be performed using treatment code.

6.4.2. Blinding

The investigator will be blinded to treatment allocation for the initial treatment phase (week 0-6) during which the treatment regimen is fixed. At the week six visit, the investigator will carry out a physical examination and determine whether there are more than 3 significant blisters present for the primary efficacy outcome measurement. The investigator will remain blinded to treatment until the results of the primary efficacy outcome measurement.
are recorded in the randomisation database. This database will have an audit trail to demonstrate the study blind has been maintained.

The remainder of the study (weeks 7-52) will be un-blinded to allow the investigator to adjust the dose of study medication particularly with prednisolone which needs careful tapering of the dose for safety reasons.

To help maintain the study blind at the 3 and 6 week visits, three strategies will be employed to remind the participant not to reveal which treatment they have been taking:

1. The Trial Manager or delegate will telephone the participants immediately prior to the 3 and 6 week visits.
2. Investigators will remind participants when they first meet at the week 3 and 6 visits.
3. The clinic reception desk will be asked to give a reminder sheet to the participant when they arrive for the appointment.

In addition, investigators will be encouraged to, if possible, involve an independent person to conduct the baseline and week 6 blister count for the primary efficacy outcome measure. However, this is dependent of availability of staff at the recruiting centres.

Investigators will record if they had been un-blinded to treatment allocation before the primary efficacy outcome measure was carried out at the week 6 visit.

6.4.3. Procedures for breaking randomisation code

In the event that an investigator requires that the treatment allocation is revealed during the initial 6 week blinded phase of the study, they will use a web-based system hosted by the Nottingham CTU which will maintain an audit trail of any code breaks. They will be instructed not to approach the local pharmacy for this information. The investigator will be asked to provide the date, name of person requesting the blind to be broken, a reason why and any other relevant information within 48 hours of breaking the blind. The Trial Manager will be informed immediately of any code break by an automatic alert email.

It will be necessary to break the study blind to reveal the treatment allocation if it is considered necessary to change treatment, adjust the dose or if the patient wishes to withdraw from the trial during the first 6 weeks of follow-up, otherwise breaking the blind should only be done in the event of a medical emergency in which treatment is dependent on knowledge of the actual drug received. It should be noted that the blinding is only maintained for the first 6 weeks of the participants’ involvement in the study. Before breaking the blind for poor response to allocated treatment the local investigator should if possible discuss whether it is necessary to do so with the chief investigator.

6.5. Trial Management

6.5.1. Trial Co-ordination

The trial will be managed from a central co-ordinating centre at the University of Nottingham, UK. The day-to-day co-ordination of the trial will be the responsibility of the Trial Manager who will be situated at the Nottingham Clinical Trials Unit. Dr Gudula Kirtschig (co-investigator) who will be based in Germany will co-ordinate the activities of
the German centres and will be responsible for co-ordinating the regulatory and ethics applications in Germany.

The University of Nottingham will Sponsor the UK arm of the study and sponsorship of the study in any other European countries will be arranged with a leading centre in each country.

6.5.2. Trial Oversight Committees

The trial will be overseen by a trial steering committee (TSC), which will include an independent chair and two other independent members, along with the Chief Investigator, the Lead Clinician and other members as appropriate. The Trial Management group (TMG) will comprise the Trial Manager, Project Manager, Statistician, Lead Clinician and Chief Investigator. They will meet regularly (face-to-face or by conference call) to facilitate the smooth running of the study and make day-to-day decisions. Other members of the study team will be invited to the TMG meetings as appropriate.

A Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee (DMEC) will also be convened for this study. All members will be independent of the applicants and study team. This committee will meet at least once a year and will oversee all ethical and safety issues.

6.6. Duration of Study and Participant Involvement

6.6.1. Duration of Participant Involvement in the Study

All participants will be in the study for one year. However, the duration of treatment will differ between participants; treatment will be continued until remission is achieved. For some participants this will be less than a year but it is likely that many will remain on treatment for the duration of the study. All participants will be followed up for a year after entering the study regardless of whether they have achieved remission.

6.6.2. Duration of the Study

Recruitment will commence on 1st March 2009 and is planned to continue for 3 years. All participants will be followed up for 52 weeks.

6.6.3. End of the Study

The end of the study is defined as the last participant last visit (52 weeks).

6.7. Selection, Recruitment and Withdrawal of Participants

6.7.1. Participants

Newly presenting adults with bullous pemphigoid who have been blister free and received no treatment for bullous pemphigoid in the past year will be enrolled into this study. Children are excluded since this is almost exclusively a disease of the elderly. In addition, doxycycline is contraindicated in children under the age of 12 years old.
6.7.2. Setting

Participants will be recruited from approximately 45 hospitals in the UK and 5 hospitals in Germany. Each centre will need to recruit approximately 7 participants over a 3 year recruitment period to meet the recruitment target. Recruitment may be extended to other European countries if recruitment rates are slower than anticipated. In the UK, study centres will be UK Dermatology Clinical Trials Network (UK DCTN) dermatologists in a mixture of district general and teaching hospitals. A survey of the membership of the UK DCTN showed that, each dermatology department sees on average 14 new cases per year. Regular updates at department meetings and research / journal clubs will ensure all members of a department consider their bullous pemphigoid patients for the study.

6.7.3. Recruitment

The initial approach will be from a member of the patient's usual care team (which may include the investigator). The investigator or their nominee, e.g. from the research team or a member of the participant's usual care team, will inform the participant of all aspects pertaining to participation in the study.

If needed, the usual hospital interpreter and translator services will be available to assist with discussion of the trial, the participant information sheets, and consent forms, but the consent forms and information sheets will not be available printed in other languages. It will be explained to the potential participant that entry into the trial is entirely voluntary and that their treatment and care will not be affected by their decision. It will also be explained that they can withdraw at any time but attempts will be made to avoid this occurrence. In the event of their withdrawal it will be explained that their data collected so far cannot be erased and will be used in the final analyses where appropriate.

In the UK, the majority of patients with bullous pemphigoid are referred to secondary care to be treated by a dermatologist. However, in some cases a general practitioner will initiate topical or systemic steroid treatment if bullous pemphigoid is strongly suspected before referring to secondary care. Since prior systemic treatment excludes patients from the study, a key aspect of the recruitment strategy will be to contact general practitioners in the local area so they are aware of the study and ask that they refer patients prior to initiating systemic therapy. The infrastructure of the UK DCTN will also be utilised to maximise recruitment rates in the UK. The Network has regional co-ordinators whose remit is to publicise studies in their region, sometimes covering several hospitals. These regional co-ordinators will be supported by the trial manager.

6.7.4. Inclusion criteria

- Aged at least eighteen years old.
- Able to provide written informed consent.
- Clinical features consistent with bullous pemphigoid.
- Have either a direct or indirect (serum) immuno-fluorescence (linear IgG/C3 at epidermal basement membrane zone) positive for bullous pemphigoid
- A total of at least three significant blisters or recent erosions spread over two or more body sites which have appeared in the week prior to study enrolment. Significant blisters are defined as intact blisters containing fluid which are at least
5mm in diameter. However, if the participant has popped a blister, or the blister is at a site that makes it susceptible to bursting such as the sole of the foot, it can be considered part of the blister count, providing there is a flexible (but not dry) roof present over a moist base.

- Free of blisters and any treatment for previous episodes of bullous pemphigoid for at least one year.

Indirect (serum) immuno-fluorescence will be performed at a central laboratory (St John’s, London UK) to maintain consistency across centres and to provide a more accurate diagnosis. The split skin technique which will be used is considered to be the most accurate diagnostic measure, but is not available at all centres.

If the results of the direct and indirect immuno-fluorescence are both negative for bullous pemphigoid then the participant will be withdrawn from the study. In normal practice, if there is a clinical picture of bullous pemphigoid, treatment is commenced and then changed later if the laboratory tests are subsequently negative. Therefore, the study will follow normal clinical practice as far as possible and it is anticipated that the number of incorrectly diagnosed participants will be low.

### 6.7.5. Exclusion criteria

The following criteria will exclude the patient from the study:

- Received any of the study medications or other recognised systemic medications for the treatment of the current episode of bullous pemphigoid prior to study entry. Prior topical treatment is permitted.
- The use of either study medication for uses other than pemphigoid treatment (e.g. doxycycline for infection or oral steroids for asthma control) will also be permitted providing such use has not occurred less than 12 weeks prior to study entry.
- Recent (3 months or less) administration of a live virus vaccine.
- Mainly or entirely mucosal pemphigoid.
- Known allergy to any member of the tetracycline family.
- Presence of any condition or use of any medication which precludes the use of either of the study drugs.
- Women of childbearing potential who are not taking adequate contraception or who are pregnant, plan to become pregnant during the study duration or lactating.
- Has any other condition which would, in the Investigator’s opinion, deem the patient unsuitable for participation in the study (e.g. condition requiring long term or frequent oral steroid use).
- Taking part in any other intervention study.

### 6.7.6. Retention of participants

To help retain participants on the study, in addition to being able to speak to the investigator, participants will be able to telephone the trial manager if they wish to discuss any aspect of the study. For medical queries, the participant will be directed to a medical member of staff. In addition, the trial administrator will make telephone calls to the
participant to support them throughout the duration of the study. Participants will be sent birthday and Christmas cards whilst they are on the study, to help maintain participants in the study.

6.7.7. Withdrawal of participants from treatment and the study

Participants may be withdrawn from the trial either at their own request or at the discretion of the Investigator. The participants will be made aware that this will not affect their future care. Participants will be made aware (via the information sheet and consent form) that should they withdraw the data collected to date may still be used in the final analysis. The reason for and date of withdrawal will be recorded on the case report form. All participants who withdraw from study treatment will be followed up for the remainder of the year providing they have not withdrawn consent.

Participants may be withdrawn from the study for the following reasons:

- Withdrawal of consent.
- Later found to be ineligible.

Note: the dose of prednisolone must be gradually reduced and should not stop abruptly.

Participants who have been randomised and then withdrawn because the laboratory tests show that they do not have bullous pemphigoid will be replaced. However, participants who withdraw after randomisation for any other reason will not be replaced.

6.7.8. Informed consent

All participants will provide written informed consent. Informed consent will be obtained from each participant before they undergo any study procedure.

Patients presenting with suspected bullous pemphigoid will be assessed by the recruiting investigator as per normal clinical practice. If this is strongly suggestive of a diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid, then the patient will be given details of the study verbally. If the patient is interested in taking part they will be given time to read the full Participant Information Leaflet and the investigator will answer any questions. Those wishing to participate will be required to sign a consent form before any study procedures are carried out.

Participants will be randomised to receive one of two standard treatments for bullous pemphigoid. For out-patients recruited to this study, if the disease severity is such that immediate oral treatment is required or the patient does not wish to delay the start of treatment, patients will be able to give their consent and be randomised to the study during their first visit to the dermatologist. Participants will be reminded that they are free to withdraw their consent at any time. Otherwise, the patient will be given a second appointment where they will give their consent and be randomised.

If the patient does not wish to take part or they are not eligible for the study, they will be treated in the normal way for their bullous pemphigoid.

Should there be any subsequent amendment to the final protocol, which might affect a participant’s participation in the trial, continuing consent will be obtained using an amended Consent form which will be signed by the participant.
6.8. Study Treatment and Regimen

6.8.1. Study Treatment

At the baseline visit (week 0) participants will be randomised to receive, for weeks 0-6, either:

- **Doxycycline 200mg per day**
  - (to be given as a single dose each morning).
  
- **Prednisolone 0.5mg/kg/day**
  - (to be given as a single dose each morning).

A study specific prescription form will be used for the participant to obtain their study drugs. For the dispensing at baseline, the prescription form will state that either prednisolone or doxycycline is to be dispensed according to the randomisation schedule, as the investigator is blinded to treatment allocation.

After 6 weeks, the prescription forms will state explicitly which study drugs are to be dispensed and the dose required.

Study medication will be dispensed at baseline and at each visit as required after week 6. The brand of study drug will not be specified and will be dispensed from normal pharmacy stocks. The investigator will be blinded to treatment allocation during this initial 6 weeks.

At the six week visit, the investigator will be un-blinded to treatment allocation (after the blister count has been carried out for the primary efficacy endpoint). The investigator is then able to adjust the study drug dose schedule according to clinical need. Investigators will be encouraged to adhere to the dose adjustment guidelines as far as possible and the reasons for any deviations from the guidelines will be recorded.

At any point, if the participant experiences a life threatening adverse reaction, they should be switched to the other study medication or another treatment, whichever is more appropriate in a clinical judgement.

6.8.2. Rescue Medication

For **weeks 0-3**, topical mometasone furoate 0.1% cream or ointment (30g / week) will be permitted with participants preferably using ointment. Participants will be instructed to apply the topical mometasone furoate to blisters / lesions as required (not to areas of unaffected skin). If the participant is allergic to mometasone furoate or the hospital pharmacy does not stock it, then an alternative topical steroid may be prescribed but this must be in the potent class. In addition, participants will be advised that they can apply a light moisturiser to blisters / lesions at any time during the study.

**For weeks 3-6**, use of mometasone furoate (or other topical corticosteroids) is strongly discouraged to prevent potential systemic effects. Accidental use of mometasone furoate or other potent topical steroid during this period will be classified as a protocol deviation.

**After week 6**, potent topical corticosteroids (up to 30g/week) may be used to treat symptoms and localised disease if they would have normally been used as part of normal
clinical care by the physician in charge of that patient. This must be recorded on the trial treatment log.

However, those patients who are on a dose reducing regime for oral steroids, 30g/week of a ‘potent’ topical steroid will be allowed.

**6.8.3. Prohibited Concomitant Medications**

The administration of live virus vaccines is not permitted for all participants during weeks 0-6 as the investigator is blinded to treatment allocation, and must therefore warn all participants to refrain for having a live virus vaccine. However, after week 6, once the investigator knows which medication the participant is on, only those taking prednisolone will not be allowed live virus vaccines.

Participants should continue to take medications for other conditions as normal. However, if it is anticipated that the participant will need a live virus vaccine during the intervention phase, they will be ineligible for entry into the study.

**6.8.4. Use of other antibiotics**

If the participant requires antibiotics other than doxycycline or penicillin as an anti-infective treatment during the study, then they will remain in the study. They will be asked to continue with their doxycycline if possible.

**6.8.5. Caution with other concomitant medications**

For participants taking doxycycline, extra caution should be taken if concurrently taking any of the following medications:

- **Warfarin:** Tetracyclines depress plasma prothrombin activity and reduced doses of concomitant anticoagulants may be necessary. Participants should have appropriate monitoring of their prothrombin time as there have been reports of prolonged prothrombin time in patients taking warfarin and doxycycline.

- **Ciclosporin:** Doxycycline may increase the plasma concentration of ciclosporin. Renal tests will be done throughout the study as per normal; practice, but extra caution should be taken if ciclosporin is being co-administered.

- **Antacids:** The absorption of doxycycline may be impaired by concurrently administered antacids containing aluminium, calcium, magnesium or other drugs containing these cations; oral zinc, iron salts or bismuth preparations. Dosages should be maximally separated.

- **Penicillin:** Since bacteriostatic drugs may interfere with the bactericidal action of penicillin, it is advisable to avoid giving Vibramycin in conjunction with penicillin.

- **Methoxyflurane:** Caution is advised in administering tetracyclines with methoxyflurane due to rare renal toxicity.

For participants taking prednisolone, extra caution should be taken if concurrently taking any of the following medications:
- **Coumarin anticoagulants:** The efficacy of coumarin anticoagulants may be enhanced by concurrent corticosteroid therapy.
- **Salicylates:** The renal clearance of salicylates is increased by corticosteroids.
- **Hypoglycaemic agents:** The desired effects of hypoglycaemic agents (including insulin), anti-hypertensives and diuretics are antagonised by the corticosteroids.
- **Diuretics:** Hypokalaemic effects of acetazolamide, loop diuretics and thiazide diuretics are enhanced.

In addition to this specific list, investigators will be advised to ensure they follow the advice in the current British National Formulary concerning interactions.

### 6.8.6. Adherence

To assess adherence, participants will be asked to record study medication usage in their diaries. During the first six weeks of the study, more than three consecutive days of study medication missed will be a protocol deviation. This is to ensure that the primary efficacy outcome is measured accurately. After the week six visit, more than three consecutive weeks of study medication missed will be a protocol deviation.

### 6.8.7. Accountability

Detailed dispensing records will be kept by each dispensing pharmacy including participant study number and name, treatment given, batch number, expiry date, dose and date of dispensing.

### 6.8.8. Management of study drug overdose

Overdose of study drug will be treated as per normal clinical practice for overdose of doxycycline and prednisolone. If an overdose occurs in the first 6 weeks, then, if necessary, the investigator will need to be un-blinded to allow management of the overdose.

### 6.9. Study Visit Schedule and Procedures

#### 6.9.1. Visit Schedule

As part of the development of this study, a survey of the UK Dermatology Clinical Trial Network members was undertaken to ensure the visit schedule reflects clinical practice as far as possible.

The visit schedule ensures that the relevant data are collected at appropriate time points balanced with the need for clinicians to be able to manage these bullous pemphigoid patients effectively. In addition, this is a multicentre study so the protocol needs to allow for differences in clinical practice between countries.

After the baseline visit at week 0, the primary effectiveness outcome measure at 6 weeks dictates the need for a mandatory study visit at week 6. A further mandatory study visit at 3 weeks is included to i) ensure the participant stops using topical mometasone for the next...
3 weeks; ii) to assess any differences in the speed of onset of action between the two treatments.

After the week 6 visit, there are mandatory study visits at 13, 26, 39 and 52 weeks (+/- 3 weeks) to ensure participants are seen at regular intervals throughout the study. However, it is likely that, particularly early on in the treatment, participants will be seen more often than this. The frequency of extra visits will be dictated by clinical need on an individual participant basis. At these additional visits, only minimal data will be recorded.

In addition to clinic visits, participants will be telephoned by the trial administrator or research nurse to provide extra support. This will include reminding participants not to reveal the treatment allocation to the investigator within the first six weeks of the study, checking adherence with study medication, reminders for appointments, clarifying data such as health service usage, explaining the study diaries and reminders to use them and answering any general queries the participant may have. In addition, the trial administrator or research nurse may post some of the documents for the participants to complete at home, such as the Quality of Life questionnaires and the study diaries.

The telephone calls will be made as follows:

- Immediately post randomisation (introduction and answer any extra questions about the study that may have arisen since visiting the dermatologist).
- Prior to the 3 week visit.
- Prior to the 6 week visit.
- Any additional telephone calls as required to support the participant throughout the study.

Because this study is multicentre and multinational, there needs to be an element of flexibility in how the baseline visit is performed. In the UK, the majority of bullous pemphigoid patients are managed as outpatients. This means that when patients first present to dermatology during a standard clinic, there will be limited time available to carry out study procedures. Investigators may carry out standard clinical procedures to determine the diagnosis and discuss the study with the patient then schedule a return visit to obtain informed consent and carry out the baseline procedures including randomisation. However, bullous pemphigoid can sometimes present with a severity that requires immediate treatment. If this is the case, or for other reasons it is necessary to begin treatment immediately, the patient may be given the information and given time to consider the study and randomised on the same day. In this circumstance the patient would be started on trial medications immediately, but would be contacted at a later stage to confirm consent after a period of reflection. This could either be a telephone call by the trial manager or a discussion at the next trial visit (week 3). Therefore, for the purposes of this protocol, the baseline visit is described as one visit but in reality this may be two clinic visits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures and Data Collection – blinded phase</th>
<th>Week 0 (baseline)</th>
<th>Week 3</th>
<th>Week 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obtain informed consent</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blister count and physical examination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Take samples for diagnostic tests: biopsy for direct immuno-fluorescence and blood sample for indirect immuno-fluorescence | X
Ascertain the patient is eligible for the study. | X
Collect medical history and demographic data | X
Samples taken for routine blood and urine tests | X
Give dietary advice for osteoporosis prevention | X
Determine Karnofsky score | X
Complete quality of Life questionnaires (EQ-5D, DLQI) | X
Give study diary to participant | X X X
Collect adverse reactions, adherence, topical mometasone furoate usage and health service usage data | X X
Record any changes in bullous pemphigoid treatment (type and dose) | X X

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures and Data Collection – un-blinded phase</th>
<th>Week 13</th>
<th>Week 26</th>
<th>Week 39</th>
<th>Week 52</th>
<th>Any additional visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blister count and physical examination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samples taken for routine blood and urine tests</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record any changes in bullous pemphigoid treatment (type and dose)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record adverse reactions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record study medication adherence</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoporosis prevention measures (as required)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collect health service usage data</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete quality of Life questionnaires (EQ-5D, DLQI)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give study diary to participant</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^1 Tests will include (but will not be restricted to) full blood count, liver function tests, creatinine and urea and urine screen. Tests will be done at least every 6 months, more often if clinically indicated.

^2 Only SAEs and SUSARs will be recorded at these visits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dispensing Medication - blinded phase</th>
<th>Week 0 (baseline)</th>
<th>Week 3</th>
<th>Week 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete clinical trial prescription form</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local pharmacist to dispense either prednisolone or doxycycline plus topical mometasone furoate according to randomisation schedule</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start study medication; prednisolone or doxycycline plus topical mometasone furoate</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop topical mometasone furoate</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete prescription for appropriate medication for the bullous pemphigoid (un-blinded)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the 6-week visit onwards, the investigator is un-blinded to treatment allocation. Therefore, a trial prescription for the appropriate medication will be written as and when is necessary if the patient is still receiving the allocated dose of trial medication randomised at baseline. If the patient is no longer receiving the allocated dose of trial medication randomised at baseline then all prescriptions will be prescribed on an FP10 as per normal practice. If the participant is continuing on prednisolone, from week 6, osteoporosis prophylaxis will be given in accordance with the BAD guidelines for treatment of bullous pemphigoid. These refer to the Royal College of Physicians guidelines for the management of glucocorticoid induced osteoporosis and include consideration of bisphosphonates and lifestyle advice.

6.9.2. Week 0: Baseline visit

The initial visit will cover screening for eligibility and baseline assessments. In routine clinical practice, a dermatologist would commence treatment when the patient first presents to the dermatology clinic if bullous pemphigoid is strongly suspected, rather than waiting for the results of the diagnostic laboratory tests. Therefore, the study schedule reflects normal practice and allows randomisation to take place and treatment to commence at this first visit where appropriate. However, the protocol allows the baseline visit to be spread over two clinic visits if required and informed consent and randomisation to take place at the second visit. There could be a number of practical and ethical reasons for splitting the baseline visit into two including; i) the patient wishes to have more time to consider the study, ii) the dermatologist does not have enough time to undertake the study assessments during the first visit, iii) the disease severity is such that it is acceptable to delay starting treatment. For clarity, the baseline visit will be described here as one clinic visit.

The following procedures will be carried out at the baseline visit:

- Physical examination and confirmation of clinical diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid.
- Give the participant information leaflet to the patient. Discuss the study and answer any initial questions the patient may have. Allow patient sufficient time to consider their participation in the study. Discuss the study with the patient further and answer any questions about the study. Obtain consent from the patient before proceeding with the study. NOTE: consent MUST be obtained prior to any study specific assessments or procedures being carried out.
- Conduct the blister count and establish disease severity defined by the number of significant blisters present:
  - Mild = less than 10
  - Moderate = between 10 and 30
  - Severe = more than 30
- Take samples for confirmation of bullous pemphigoid:
  - Biopsy for direct immuno-fluorescence and send to the local laboratory for analysis.
  - Blood sample for indirect (serum) immuno-fluorescence on salt-split skin (basement membrane zone) and send to Immunodermatology Laboratory,
If a sample is sent for histology as part of routine clinical practice, the results of this will be recorded but this will not be a diagnostic entry criterion.

It should be noted that these diagnostic tests would be done routinely as part of normal care for patients with suspected bullous pemphigoid and are not done for research purposes. Therefore, if these have been ordered by another clinician then they will not be repeated for the purposes of the study. For the same reasons, there may be incidences where these are carried out before consent is obtained.

- Take samples for full blood count, kidney and liver function tests, plus any others that are clinically indicated. Collect sample for urine screen (sediment, protein and glucose). The results of these tests will not be collected or analysed but they should be checked by the clinician as per routine practice and any clinically significant findings treated accordingly and recorded on the medical history if appropriate.

- Check patient meets all eligibility criteria.

- Record relevant medical history and demographic data.

- Establish Karnofsky score (measure of activities of daily living (9)).

- Ask the participant to complete quality of life questionnaires EuroQol EQ-5D and Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI).

- Give study diary to participant to record any medical problems, health service usage and adherence with study medication. Instruct the participant to return tube(s) at week 3 visit.

- Give general advice regarding osteoporosis prevention e.g. physical exercise, calcium in diet. This applies to all participants because at this point the investigator is blinded to treatment allocation.

- Randomise participant by logging on the web-based randomisation system or by telephoning the trial manager. The participant will be randomised to receive either prednisolone (0.5mg/kg/day) or doxycycline (200mg/day) plus mometasone furoate (0.1%) (either 3x30g tubes or one 100g tube) to be applied only to blisters for weeks 0-3 as required.

- Instruct the participant to take the completed study prescription form to the local pharmacy (or appropriate alternative depending on local procedures) where study medication plus mometasone furoate (if required) will be dispensed.

If the baseline visit is spread over two visits to the clinic, consent will be obtained at the second visit. At the first visit, the participant information leaflet will be given to the participant and the investigator will explain the study. The patient will be given ONLY topical mometasone furoate and instructed to apply this to the blisters until the next visit. If the patient is allergic to mometasone furoate, then an alternative topical steroid in the potent class may be prescribed. If the investigator wishes to carry out the diagnostic tests at this visit before consent is obtained then this is acceptable provided they are not outside normal practice i.e. that they are NOT study specific procedures. The investigator will
schedule a second visit for as soon as possible after this to obtain consent and to carry out the remaining screening and baseline procedures.

6.9.3. Week 3 visit

The purpose of the week 3 visit is to check the participants' progress, to record adverse events and to ensure the participant stops using topical mometasone furoate. There is a +/- 3 day window for this visit.

The following procedures will be carried out at the week 3 visit:

- Physical examination including counting the number of significant blisters present to determine extent of disease activity.
- Collect the used tube(s) of mometasone furoate and record the amount used. Explain to participant that they should now use **NO** topical corticosteroids.
- Collect the completed study diary and record adverse event and health service usage data.
- If any changes to the patient’s allocated dose of medication are necessary then the treatment allocation will require unbinding via the randomisation database and the changes recorded on the treatment log. Please note that this is not the preferred option and any changes made prior to week 6 should be discussed with the Chief Investigator who can be contacted via the co-ordinating centre.

6.9.4. Week 6 visit

At this visit, the number of significant blisters present will be recorded to determine whether the treatment has been a success (primary efficacy outcome measure). Following this, the investigator will be un-blinded to treatment allocation to allow adjustment of the study drugs for the remainder of the study. Guidelines for study drug adjustments are provided and investigators will be encouraged to adhere to these wherever possible. There is a +/- 3 day window for this visit.

The following procedures will be carried out at the week 6 visit:

- Physical examination including counting the number of significant blisters present for the primary efficacy outcome measure.
- Obtain details of treatment allocation. Although the participant is not blinded to treatment allocation, this procedure should be followed to ensure that the blister count for the primary efficacy outcome measure has been recorded prior to the treatment allocation being revealed.
- For participants taking prednisolone, follow the Royal College of Physicians guidelines for the management of gluco-corticoid induced osteoporosis (consideration of bisphosphonates, bone mineral density scan and lifestyle advice).
- Complete quality of life questionnaires (EuroQol EQ-5D and DLQI).
- Collect the completed study diary and record adverse reaction and health service usage data. Report any relevant SAEs / SUSARs to the co-ordinating centre. The co-ordinating centre will post the participant a new study diary to record any
6.9.5. Follow up visits at weeks 13, 26, 39 and 52 (open-label phase)

The remaining mandatory study visits are at weeks 13, 26, 39 and 52. There is a +/- 3 week window for these visits. However, it is likely that the participant will be seen more frequently, particularly early on in their treatment. At these mandatory visits, the following procedures will be carried out at each visit:

- Physical examination including counting the number of significant blisters present to determine extent of disease activity / relapse of bullous pemphigoid.
- Complete quality of life questionnaires (EuroQol EQ-5D and DLQI).
- At weeks 26 and 52 only, take samples for full blood count, liver function tests, creatinine and urea, plus any others that are clinically indicated. Collect sample for urine screen (sediment, protein and glucose). The results of these tests will not be collected or analysed but they should be checked by the clinician as per routine practice and any clinically significant findings treated accordingly and recorded as an adverse event if appropriate.
- Collect the completed study diary and record adverse reactions and health service usage data. Report any possibly, probably or definitely related SAEs / SUSARs to the co-ordinating centre. The co-ordinating centre will post the participant a new study diary to record any medical problems, health service usage and adherence with study medication from this visit to the next.
- Record any dose / treatment adjustment and dispense study medication as required on the treatment log. Record the amount of mometasone furoate used, if applicable.

6.9.6. Extra visits

If the participant needs to be seen by the dermatologist for any visit extra to the mandatory visits at weeks 3, 6, 13, 26, 39 and 52, the investigator will be asked to capture a minimal data set at each extra visit.

The following procedures will be carried out at each extra visit:

- Physical examination including counting the number of significant blisters present to determine extent of disease activity / relapse of bullous pemphigoid.
- Report any possibly, probably or definitely related SAEs / SUSARs to the co-ordinating centre.
- Record any dose / treatment adjustment and dispense study medication as required on the treatment log.
6.9.7. Follow Up of Patients Who Change Treatment

All patients who move from their randomised treatment at any time, or who are prescribed additional oral treatments after week 6, will continue to be followed up as described in sections 6.9.3 to 6.9.6.

6.9.8. Rupturing Blisters

Participants should be advised that they can rupture blisters if they are 5cm or over in diameter and are too uncomfortable to leave. However, they should be asked to not burst any blisters in the 3 days prior to a study visit.

6.9.9. Treatment of Relapses

Participants will be advised to return to the investigator for treatment if they have another episode of bullous pemphigoid. The visit schedule will remain with any extra visits occurring as described above. If the episode is treated by another clinician, then this will be picked up at the next regular study visit and recorded. In addition, patients will be asked to record any relapse in their study diary.

7. STATISTICS

7.1. Methods

The Trial Steering Committee will approve the statistical analysis plan and will also approve any amendments. Any deviations from the original plan will be documented and justified in the final report.

The analysis plan and statistical analysis will be carried out by the trial statistician under the supervision of Prof. Andrew Nunn, MRC Clinical Trial Unit. The trial statistician will analyse the results based on treatment code using a statistical analysis plan finalised prior to revealing the coded allocation sequence. Only after the analysis is complete will the actual treatment arms corresponding to the treatment codes be revealed.

In the comparison of effectiveness non-inferiority will be assessed using the upper bound of the 90% confidence interval for the difference between the proportion of participants who are classified as having a favourable outcome on the control, prednisolone arm and the doxycycline intervention. The analysis will be conducted by intention to treat (ITT) and per protocol. The intervention arm will be considered non-inferior in terms of effectiveness if the upper bound of the 90% confidence interval for the difference between the control and intervention arm in the ITT analysis is less than 37% and the results of the per protocol analysis are consistent with the ITT analysis.

In the comparison of adverse events the difference between the two treatment arms in the proportion of patients classified as having had a grade 3 or higher adverse event will be presented with its 95% confidence interval.
7.2. Sample size and justification

A total of 256 participants will be recruited to the study (128 per arm). This should be sufficient to detect a clinically relevant difference of 20% in grade 3, 4 and 5 (mortality) side effects within one year of randomisation (primary safety objective). This is based on 60% incidence with prednisolone versus 40% with doxycycline at 80% power and 95% significance level allowing for a 20% loss to follow-up by one year. This estimation of side effect rate is based on a previous study looking at prednisolone for treatment of bullous pemphigoid (10). The authors showed that 60% of participants on 0.5 mg/kg/day reported grade 3 or 4 side effects after one year of treatment. We have used this figure for our study as this is directly comparable. The comparator arm in this published study was oral prednisolone. We have considered that this group is reasonably matched to our doxycycline group as they were not exposed to systemic corticosteroids and the associated side effects. The proportion of participants reporting grade 3 or 4 side effects in this group was 40%.

The outcome at 6 weeks will be expressed as a two sided 90% confidence interval for the difference in success rate (in terms of blister count) between the intervention, doxycycline arm and the control, prednisolone arm. It has been assumed that the point estimate for this difference will be 25%, based on an expected response rate of 95% in the control arm and 70% in the intervention. The upper level for the 90% confidence interval is the extent of inferiority that can be excluded based on the data from the trial. For the upper limit not to exceed a rate difference of 37% (corresponding to an absolute response of 58%) with 80% power, a total of 111 evaluable participants per group would be required. For it not to exceed 35% (an absolute response rate of 60%) 160 participants per arm would be required. If the point estimate for the difference is 20% for the upper limit not to exceed a difference of 32% (corresponding to an absolute response rate of 63%) with 80% power a total of 101 evaluable participants per group would be required, for it not to exceed 30% (an absolute rate of 65%), 146 participants per arm would be required. For the purpose of this study a lower level of response of 58% (95% - 37%) has been assumed; this is substantially greater than the complete lack of response that would be expected if participants remained untreated. A survey of the UK DCTN membership showed that a point estimate of 25% inferiority in effectiveness would be acceptable assuming a gain in the safety profile of at least 10%.

The primary effectiveness outcome measure is at 6 weeks. The attrition rate in the initial 6 weeks is likely to be low (5%). It should be noted that no spontaneous resolution of this condition would be expected at 6 weeks.

7.3. Primary analyses

- The difference between the two treatment arms in the proportion of participants classed as treatment success at 6 weeks will be analysed using a 90% confidence interval. Analysis will be by both intention-to-treat and per-protocol. Participants will be excluded from the per-protocol population if, for reasons other than treatment success or failure, they have:
  - Increased the dose of their allocated treatment
  - Changed treatment or added a new treatment to their allocated treatment
  - Used topical steroids between visit weeks 3 & 6
- Used more than their allocated 100g of topical steroids before their 3 week visit
- Missed more than three consecutive days of treatment
- Committed other protocol deviations deemed to be violations by an independent ad hoc adjudication panel
- The difference between the two treatment arms in the proportion of participants reporting grade 3, 4 and 5 (mortality) adverse events which are possibly, probably or definitely related to BP medication in the 52 weeks following randomisation.

### 7.4. Secondary analyses

For the secondary and tertiary endpoints a participant will be classed as a treatment success if they have 3 or less significant blisters present on examination and have not had their treatment modified (changed or dose increased) on account of a poor response.

The following endpoints will be non-inferiority analyses using the same non-inferiority margin as the primary effectiveness outcome (absolute difference of 37%):

- The difference between the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who are classed as treatment success at 6 weeks.
- The difference between the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who, on examination at 13 weeks, are classed as treatment success.
- The difference between the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who, on examination at 52 weeks, are classed as treatment success.
- The difference between the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who have a further episode of bullous pemphigoid during their participation in the study after previously being classed as a treatment success.

Analyses of the above endpoints will be by both intention-to-treat and per-protocol.

The following endpoints will be superiority analyses:

- The difference between the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who are classed as treatment success at 6 weeks and are alive at one year.
- The difference between the two treatment arms in the proportion of participants reporting any adverse events which are possibly, probably or definitely related to BP medication in the 52 weeks following randomisation.
- The generic Euroqol EQ-5D and the disease-specific Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) questionnaires will be carried out at baseline, 6, 13, 26, 39 and 52 weeks to:
  - describe the temporal pattern of treatments on quality-of-life
  - correlate outcome measures and their sensitivity to variation and change
  - inform calculations of quality-adjusted life-years for each treatment.
7.5. Tertiary Analysis

The following endpoints will be non-inferiority analyses using the same non-inferiority margin as the primary effectiveness outcome (absolute difference of 37%):

- The difference between the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who, on examination at 6 weeks, are completely blister free.
- The difference between the proportion of participants in each treatment arm who, on examination at 3 weeks are classed as treatment success.

The following endpoints will be superiority analyses:

- The difference between the mortality rates in each treatment arm.
- The difference between the amount of potent and super-potent topical corticosteroids used in each treatment arm during the study.

7.6. Cost Effectiveness Analysis

- Resources: data will be collected on health service usage (number, type and duration of visits to healthcare professionals and inpatient stays). Additionally where new onset chronic disease side effects (attributable to the trial medications) occur during the duration of the study, the long term costs of these will be modelled.

- Costs: resource use will be costed applying national reference costs where possible. The average cost of the two arms of the study will be estimated from an NHS perspective within the duration of the trial.

- Cost-effectiveness: patient-level costs and quality-of-life data will be used to estimate Cost/QALYs and compare treatments. Cost-consequence profiles will provide a physical comparison of the treatments. The cost per patient responding (i.e. blister free) at 12 months will also be reported.

- Health economic data will help inform policy, clinical and patient perspectives. These data will help establish whether any reduction in side-effects of doxycycline relative to prednisolone are associated with a subsequent reduction in costs to the NHS. A within trial cost-consequence profile will describe key physical consequences of the alternative treatments. Quality-adjusted survival data will be used to describe the temporal impact of the two treatments upon health-related wellbeing, to help inform patients and clinicians. To inform policy decisions, trial findings will be extrapolated over the remaining expected life of patients using epidemiological data to estimate the cost per quality-adjusted life-year gained (cost/QALY). The cost/QALY model will be parameterised using trial estimates and variances where possible. The influence of individual model parameters upon the robustness of findings will be explored using single parameter sensitivity analysis. Multiple parameter probablistic modelling will generate cost-effectiveness plane and acceptability curve data to explore the level of confidence in which either treatment is dominant and/or cost-effective.

7.7. Analysis Plan

All analyses will be adjusted for the stratification factor (baseline disease severity), age and Karnofsky score. A detailed analysis plan will be developed before the final analysis.
8. ADVERSE EVENTS

8.1. Definitions

An **adverse event (AE)** is any unfavourable and unintended sign, symptom, syndrome or illness that develops or worsens during the period of observation in the study.

An AE does include a / an:

1. Exacerbation of a pre-existing illness.
2. Increase in frequency or intensity of a pre-existing episodic event or condition.
3. Condition detected or diagnosed after study drug administration even though it may have been present prior to the start of the study.
4. Continuous persistent disease or symptoms present at baseline that worsen following the start of the study.

An AE does not include a / an:

1. medical or surgical procedure (e.g., surgery, endoscopy, tooth extraction, transfusion); but the condition that lead to the procedure is an AE.
2. pre-existing disease or conditions present or detected at the start of the study that did not worsen.
3. situations where an untoward medical occurrence has not occurred (e.g., hospitalisations for cosmetic elective surgery, social and / or convenience admissions).
4. disease or disorder being studied or sign or symptom associated with the disease or disorder unless more severe than expected for the participant’s condition.
5. overdose of concurrent medication without any signs or symptoms.

A **Serious Adverse Event (SAE)** is any adverse event occurring that results in any of the following outcomes:

- Death
- life-threatening
- Inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation
- Persistent or significant disability / incapacity
- A congenital anomaly or birth defect in the offspring of a participant
- Other medical events may be considered to be a SAE if they require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed in this definition.

All adverse events will be assessed for seriousness, expectedness and causality (not related, possibly related, probably related or definitely related). An adverse event whose causal relationship to the study drug is assessed by the Chief Investigator as “possible”, “probable”, or “definite” is an Adverse Reaction (AR). If the AR is classed as serious then it is a Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR). A distinction is drawn between serious and severe
AEs. Severity is a measure of intensity whereas seriousness is defined using the criteria above. Hence, a severe AE need not necessarily be serious.

All adverse events will be recorded and closely monitored until resolution, stabilisation, or until it has been shown that the study medication or treatment is not the cause.

8.2. Causality

Not related or unlikely: a clinical event including laboratory test abnormality with temporal relationship to trial treatment administration which makes a causal relationship incompatible or for which other drugs, chemicals or disease provide a plausible explanation. This will be counted as “unrelated” for notification purposes.

Possible: a clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, with temporal relationship to trial treatment administration which makes a causal relationship a reasonable possibility, but which could also be explained by other drugs, chemicals or concurrent disease. This will be counted as “related” for notification purposes.

Probable: a clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, with temporal relationship to trial treatment administration which makes a causal relationship a reasonable possibility, and is unlikely to be due to other drugs, chemicals or concurrent disease. This will be counted as “related” for notification purposes.

Definite: a clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, with temporal relationship to trial treatment administration which makes a causal relationship a reasonable possibility, and which can definitely not be attributed to other causes. This will be counted as “related” for notification purposes.

An AE whose causal relationship to the study IMP is assessed by the Chief Investigator as “possible”, “probable”, or “definite” is an Adverse Drug Reaction.

With regard to the criteria above, medical and scientific judgment shall be used in deciding whether prompt reporting is appropriate in that situation.

8.3. Reporting of Adverse Events

Participants will be asked to contact the study site immediately in the event of any significant adverse event. The Chief Investigator shall be informed immediately of any reportable serious adverse reactions and shall determine seriousness and causality in conjunction with any treating medical practitioners.

In the event of a pregnancy occurring in a trial participant or the partner of a trial participant monitoring shall occur during the pregnancy and after delivery to ascertain any trial related adverse events in the mother or the offspring. Where it is the partner of a trial participant consent will be obtained for this observation from both the partner and her medical practitioner.

All serious adverse reactions will be recorded and reported to the MHRA and REC as part of the regular mandatory reports. SUSARs will be reported within the statutory timeframes to the MHRA and REC as stated below. The Chief Investigator shall be responsible for all adverse event reporting.
8.4. Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reaction (SUSARs)

A serious adverse reaction (SAR) that is unexpected (i.e. not a known side effect of the study drug) is classed as Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reaction. All SUSARs will be reported immediately to the Chief Investigator and will require expedited reporting to the authorities as described below.

The Chief Investigator will:

- Assess the event for seriousness, expectedness and relatedness to the study IMP.
- Take appropriate medical action, which may include halting the trial and inform the Sponsor of such action.
- If the event is deemed a SUSAR, shall, within seven days, complete the CIOMS form and send to the MHRA.
- Shall inform the REC using the reporting form found on the NRES web page within seven days of knowledge of the event.
- Shall, within a further eight days send any follow-up information and reports to the MHRA and REC.
- Make any amendments as required to the study protocol and inform the ethics and regulatory authorities as required.

8.5. Collection of Adverse Event Data

This study is a comparison of two widely used drugs, prednisolone and doxycycline, for which the side-effect profile is well established. For this reason, only adverse events that are known side-effects will be collected (detailed in section 4.2.4, Known side effects) i.e. only adverse reactions will be collected. Similarly, for this reason only serious adverse reactions will be reported to the co-ordinating centre.

At each mandatory study visit, the investigator will record whether the participant had experienced any of the reportable adverse events. The investigator will also have the opportunity to record any other significant adverse events that are not listed if deemed clinically relevant.

All available sources of information will be used to collect information on adverse events including hospital notes, the participant diary and discussion with the participant. Any adverse events reported will be graded according to a modified version of the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v3.0

9. Ethics Committee and Regulatory Aspects

9.1. Ethical and Regulatory Approval

The trial will not be initiated before the protocol, informed consent forms and participant and GP information sheets have received approval / favourable opinion from the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), Research Ethics Committee (REC), and the respective National Health Service (NHS) Research & Development (R&D) department. Should a protocol amendment be made that requires
MHRA and REC approval, the changes in the protocol will not be instituted until the amendment and revised informed consent forms and participant and GP information sheets (if appropriate) have been reviewed and received approval / favourable opinion from the MHRA and REC. A protocol amendment intended to eliminate an apparent immediate hazard to participants may be implemented immediately providing that the MHRA, R&D and REC are notified as soon as possible and an approval is requested. Minor protocol amendments only for logistical or administrative changes may be implemented immediately; and the REC will be informed.

The trial will be conducted in accordance with the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki, 1996; the principles of Good Clinical Practice, in accordance with the Medicines for Human Use Regulations, Statutory Instrument 2004, 1031 and its subsequent amendments and the Department of Health Research Governance Framework for Health and Social care, 2005.

9.2. Informed Consent and Participant Information

The process for obtaining participant informed consent will be in accordance with the REC guidance, and Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and any other regulatory requirements that might be introduced.

The investigator or designee will discuss the study with the patient and also give them a copy of the Participant Information Leaflet which will contain all the details of the study. There will be opportunity for the patient to ask questions about the study and the investigator or designee will ensure the questions are fully answered.

The investigator or their nominee and the participant shall both sign and date the Informed Consent Form before the person can participate in the study. The original will be kept in the Investigator Site File, one copy given to the participant and a copy will be retained in the participant’s hospital records.

The decision regarding participation in the study is entirely voluntary. The investigator or their nominee shall emphasize to them that consent regarding study participation may be withdrawn at any time without penalty or affecting the quality or quantity of their future medical care, or loss of benefits to which the participant is otherwise entitled. No trial-specific interventions will be done before informed consent has been obtained.

The investigator will inform the participant of any relevant information that becomes available during the course of the study, and will discuss with them, whether they wish to continue with the study. If applicable they will be asked to sign revised consent forms.

If the Informed Consent Form is amended during the study, the investigator shall follow all applicable regulatory requirements pertaining to approval of the amended Informed Consent Form by the REC and use of the amended form (including for ongoing participants).

9.3. Case Report Forms

Each participant will be assigned a trial identity code number, allocated at randomisation, for use on the case report forms (CRFs), other trial documents and the study database. The documents and database will also use their initials (of first and last names separated by a hyphen or a middle name initial when available) and date of birth (dd/mm/yy).
CRFs will be treated as confidential documents and held securely in accordance with regulations. The investigator will make a separate confidential record of the participant’s name, date of birth, local hospital number or NHS number, and Participant Trial Number (the Participant Screening Log), to permit identification of all participants enrolled in the trial, in case additional follow-up is required.

CRFs shall be restricted to those personnel approved by the Chief or local Principal Investigator and recorded on the ‘Site Responsibility (Delegation) Log.’

All paper forms shall be filled in using black ballpoint pen. Errors shall be lined out but not obliterated by using correction fluid and the correction inserted, initialled and dated.

The Chief or local Principal Investigator shall sign a declaration ensuring accuracy of data recorded in the CRF.

9.4. Source documents

Source documents shall be filed at the investigator’s site and may include but are not limited to, consent forms, current medical records, laboratory results and pharmacy records. The CRFs for this trial will also be considered to be their own source documentation as agreed with the Sponsor. The questionnaires will serve as their own source data. Only trial staff as listed on the Site Responsibility (Delegation) Log shall have access to trial documentation other than the regulatory requirements listed below.

9.5. Direct access to source data / documents

The CRF and all source documents, including progress notes and copies of laboratory and medical test results shall be made available at all times for review by the Chief Investigator, Sponsor’s designee and inspection by relevant regulatory authorities (e.g., MHRA).

Source documents provide evidence for the existence of the participant and permit verification of the data collected. Data reported on the CRFs that are derived from source documents must be consistent with the source documents or the discrepancies must be explained.

Source documents are filed at the investigator’s site and may include (but are not limited to) participant diaries, current medical records, laboratory results, results of special investigations and pharmacy records.

9.6. Data Protection

Due respect for data protection and confidentiality will be maintained.

All trial staff and investigators will endeavour to protect the rights of the trial’s participants to privacy and informed consent, and will adhere to the Data Protection Act, 1998. The CRF will only collect the minimum required information for the purposes of the trial. CRFs will be held securely, in a locked room, or locked cupboard or cabinet. Access to the information will be limited to the trial staff and investigators and relevant regulatory authorities. Computer held data including the trial database will be held securely and password protected. All data will be stored on a secure dedicated web server. Access will be restricted by user identifiers and passwords (encrypted using a one way encryption method).
Information about the trial in the participant’s medical records / hospital notes will be treated confidentially in the same way as all other confidential medical information.

Electronic data will be backed up every 24 hours to both local and remote media in encrypted format.

10. Quality Assurance and Audit

10.1. Insurance and Indemnity

Insurance and indemnity for trial participants and trial staff is covered within the NHS Indemnity Arrangements for clinical negligence claims in the NHS, issued under cover of HSG (96)48. There are no special compensation arrangements, but trial participants may have recourse through the NHS complaints procedures.

The University of Nottingham has taken out an insurance policy to provide indemnity in the event of a successful litigious claim for proven non-negligent harm.

10.2. Trial Conduct

This trial will be conducted in adherence with the protocol, International Conference on Harmonisation Good Clinical Practice E6 (ICH-GCP) and the applicable regulatory requirements. The protocol will undergo peer review by the Nottingham Clinical Trial Unit to ensure compliance with GCP. All study documents and SOPs will be prepared to ensure compliance with GCP.

The Trial Manager will ensure that all study procedures are followed and any deviations documented and investigated and will put in place measures to avoid a repeat.

One or more study training days will be arranged for training recruiting centres. This training day will cover all relevant aspects of GCP and all study procedures and SOPs. In addition, the trial manager will visit sites where necessary to conduct further training and provide assistance. Further training on GCP will be accessed through the UKCRN training programme which all UK DCTN members can access free of charge.

10.3. Trial Monitoring and Audit

Central monitoring of the data will be carried out by the Trial Manager and the Data Manager as the data are received at the co-ordinating centre.

Trial data at sites will be primarily monitored under existing governance arrangements. However, the trial manager will monitor data where there is a specific need or if problems are found with data from a particular centre.

Monitoring visits will cover as a minimum:

- Primary outcome variable and major secondary outcome variables
- Adverse events
- Correct treatment assignment
- Informed consent
• Completion/withdrawal

Monitoring visits will be followed by a monitoring report, summarising the findings during the visit and recommending remedial actions as necessary.

An audit of the Trial Master File for inclusion of essential documents as defined by Good Clinical Practice will be conducted by the Trial Manager or designee at least yearly and an audit report shall be made available to the Trial Steering Committee.

10.4. Record Retention and Archiving

In compliance with the ICH/GCP guidelines, regulations and in accordance with University of Nottingham policy, the Chief or local Principal Investigator will maintain all records and documents regarding the conduct of the study. These will be retained for at least 7 years or for longer if required. If the responsible investigator is no longer able to maintain the study records, a second person will be nominated to take over this responsibility.

The Trial Master File, trial documents and database will be held by the Chief Investigator on behalf of the Sponsor. They shall be finally archived at secure archive facilities at the University of Nottingham.

10.5. Risk Assessment

There is little additional risk or benefit to the individual participant by entering this study. Both study drugs are standard treatments for bullous pemphigoid and the risks associated with both treatments are well documented. All participants in the study will receive an active drug (there is no placebo arm). Therefore, there is no additional risk to participants to that of normal clinical care. The results of this study will help inform clinical treatment decisions that will benefit society as a whole.

10.6. Confidentiality

Individual participant medical information obtained as a result of this study is considered confidential and disclosure to third parties is prohibited with the exceptions noted above. Participant confidentiality will be further ensured by utilising identification code numbers to correspond to treatment data in the computer files.

Such medical information may be given to the participant’s medical team and all appropriate medical personnel responsible for the participant’s welfare.

Data generated as a result of this trial will be available for inspection on request by the participating physicians, representatives of the Sponsor, the ethics committees, host institutions and the regulatory authorities.

11. PUBLICATION AND DISSEMINATION POLICY

The results of the study will be submitted for publication in a peer review journal as soon as possible after analysis. The authorship will be in line with the UK DCTN publication policy. A writing team will be convened who will take on the responsibility for writing the paper and the author will be the European Blister Study Team. All Principle and co-
investigators will be named in the acknowledgements, detailing their role in the study. Participants will not be identified in any publications.

12. USER AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

In the UK, a patient panel of 5-7 people who have had, or still have, bullous pemphigoid will be involved in this study, plus a smaller panel of 2-3 patients from Germany and the Netherlands. Members of the panels have been identified through the UK Bullous Pemphigoid Support Group and clinicians on the study team. One panel member will be invited to contribute to steering committee meetings where appropriate.

The patient panels will contribute to the design of the study and the content of the participant information leaflets and other documents given to participants with the aim of increasing the acceptability and relevance of this research to patients.

13. STUDY FINANCES

13.1. Funding source

This study is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) programme (Department of Health, UK).

13.2. Participant stipends and payments

Participants will not be paid to participate in the trial. Travel expenses will be offered for any costs incurred for hospital visits in excess of usual care, and will be in the form of vouchers wherever possible.
14. Signature Page

Chief Investigator: ________________________________

Signature: ________________________________ Date: ________________
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16. Appendix 1

16.1. Karnofsky Performance Status Scale Definitions Rating (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating (%)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Normal no complaints; no evidence of disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Able to carry on normal activity; minor signs or symptoms of disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Normal activity with effort; some signs or symptoms of disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Cares for self; unable to carry on normal activity or to do active work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Requires occasional assistance, but is able to care for most of his personal needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Requires considerable assistance and frequent medical care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Disabled; requires special care and assistance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Severely disabled; hospital admission is indicated although death not imminent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Very sick; hospital admission necessary; active supportive treatment necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Moribund; fatal processes progressing rapidly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Dead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16.2. Blood and Urine Tests

As a minimum, the following blood tests will be carried out prior to taking any study drugs:

- Full blood count.
- Liver function tests (alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total bilirubin and gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT)).
- Kidney function tests (urea and creatinine).

However, this list is not designed to be exhaustive, further tests should be ordered as clinically necessary.

A urine sample will be tested for sediment, protein and glucose.
16.3. Dummy Example Allocation List

A master list prepared and held by Nottingham CTU. A dummy example of how this might look is shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant number</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Participant number</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0001</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>0015</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0002</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>0016</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>0017</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0004</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>0018</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0005</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>0019</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0006</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>0020</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0007</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>0021</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0008</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>0023</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0009</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>0024</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0010</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>0025</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0011</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>0026</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0012</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>0027</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0013</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>0028</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0014</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>0029</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pharmacist at each centre will keep a record of the treatment allocation as in the example below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Study number</th>
<th>Participant name</th>
<th>Treatment allocation</th>
<th>Date of dispensing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0002</td>
<td>Paul Smith</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>21/09/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0015</td>
<td>Tim Bowen</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>14/01/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0026</td>
<td>Judy Jones</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>02/02/2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There will be a record sheet for each participant to record all dispensing episodes as in the example below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Study number</th>
<th>Participant name</th>
<th>Date of dispensing</th>
<th>Name of drug</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Total number of tablets dispensed</th>
<th>Batch number</th>
<th>Expiry date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visit 1 (Screening / baseline)</td>
<td></td>
<td>02/09/2008</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>Sovereign</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>34/65</td>
<td>08/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 2 (week 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>23/09/2008</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>Sovereign</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>34/65</td>
<td>08/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 3 (week 6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14/10/2008</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>Sovereign</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>34/65</td>
<td>08/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 4 (extra visit)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/11/2008</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>Sovereign</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>54/77</td>
<td>10/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 5 extra visit</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/12/2008</td>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>Sovereign</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>54/77</td>
<td>10/2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17. Appendix 2

Substantial Amendments to the Protocol

Version 2.0
Amend primary outcome measure of treatment success to 3 blisters present at week 6
Patients not permitted to use rescue medication of topical steroid after week 3
Change rescue medication to mometasone furoate 0.1% ointment or cream
Removal of tertiary outcome measure comparing use of topical steroid

Version 3.0
Removal of "active cancer" as an exclusion criterion.
Amend DMEC meeting times to at least once a year.
Addition of Appendix 3
Appendix 3

Serum autoantibody laboratory sub-study

Patients who enter BLISTER will also be given the option to allow their blood samples to be used for a study into the autoantibodies involved in bullous pemphigoid.

Background

In bullous pemphigoid (BP), autoantibodies are directed against two hemidesmosomal antigens, type XVII collagen/BP180 and BP230. First evidence for a pathogenic role of human antibodies to human BP180 came from the observation that levels of circulating IgG antibodies to the NC16A domain correlate with disease activity in BP patients. This notion has been corroborated in different patient populations within the last years. Whereas IgG reactivity to BP180 has been intensively studied, the importance of BP100-specific antibodies of the IgA and IgE isotypes has only recently been highlighted. Indeed, the majority of BP sera contain IgA antibodies to BP180. In addition, IgE reactivity against BP180 has been reported in 30% to 95% of BP patient.

While the pathogenic relevance of IgG antibodies to BP180 is well established, the role of anti-BP230 reactivity is less clear. Serum levels of anti-BP230 antibodies repeatedly failed to parallel disease activity in BP patients. Interestingly, reactivity to B230 was preferentially found in patients with limited BP and only less than 5% of BP sera exclusively bind to BP230.

Research Questions

1. Do levels of autoantibodies against BP180 NC16A (IgG, IgA, IgE) before systemic treatment was initiated relate to the clinical outcome?
   a. Are there differences between the 2 treatment arms?

2. Do levels of autoantibodies against BP230 (IgG, IgA, IgE) before systemic treatment was initiated relate to the clinical outcome.
   a. Are there differences between the 2 treatment arms?

3. Do patients without antibodies to the BP180 NC16A domain respond differently to the treatment, compared to patients with BP180 NC16A reactivity?

4. Is there a relation between the initial autoantibody level and the initial severity of condition as determined by the initial number of blisters (0-9 = mild, 10-29 = moderate, 30+ = severe)?

5. Is there a relationship between the initial autoantibody level and the Karnofsky score?

6. Is there any correlation between the presence or intensity of pruritus (and its development during the course of the disease) and the presence or level of IgE antibodies to either the BP180 or BP230 antigen?

Methods

As part of the diagnostic entry criteria for the BLISTER RCT, a serum sample will be sent to Oxford, UK for indirect immunofluorescence microscopy for each patient entered into the study. Part of this serum (1-2 ml) will then be forwarded to Dr Enno Schmidt, Lübeck, Germany (Dept. of Dermatology, University of Lübeck, Ratzeburger Allee 160, D-23538 Lübeck) for analysis. Therefore, no additional blood samples will be taken from patients for this study, over and above those taken for the main RCT. Sera will be analysed by ELISA and Westernblotting using recombinant and cell-derived fragments of BP180 and BP230.

The serum samples sent to Lübeck, Germany will be analysed within the timescale of the BLISTER RCT and any serum left over will be destroyed as normal clinical waste.